Redox-Active Star Molecules Incorporating the 4-Benzoylpyridinium Cation: Implications for the Charge Transfer Efficiency along Branches vs Across the Perimeter in Dendrimers
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Dendrimers are self-repeating globular branched star molecules, whose fractal structure continues to fascinate, challenge, and inspire.1 Functional dendrimers may incorporate redox centers, and potential applications include antennae molecules for light harvesting, sensors, mediators, and artificial biomolecules. Dendrimers with a redox core show no significant inhibition2 but also shielding (by the branches)3 and orientation effects (in asymmetric dendrimers) upon the rate of heterogeneous electron transfer.4 Dendrimers with redox centers in the branches are also well-known. Using thin-layer-electrochemistry, all 21 tetrathiafulvalenes embedded in the branches of a third-generation dendrimer are electrochemically accessible leading to a 42+ cation.5 Using pulse radiolysis, Fox reported fast electron transfer (e-transfer) between peripheral biphenyls (donors) and a core acceptor (a Ru(II)-complex).6 On the basis of those reports, e-transfer across the perimeter of redox active star-system. Cations 1 and 2 were assessed both by semi-infinite time scale (by bulk electrolysis) and at the cyclic voltammetric (CV) time scale of 0.02–10 V s−1. Next, the voltammetry of 2–4 was used to assess whether within the same time scale redox centers within the branches are as accessible as redox centers across the perimeter.

Benzoylpyridinium (BP),9 whose redox centers across the perimeter.

Figure 1. CV at 0.1 V s−1 of 1 (1.10 mM) in Ar-degassed DMF/0.1 M TBAP containing 1.44 mM of dMeFc as internal standard, using a Au disk electrode (1.6 mm in diameter). (Inset A): Same solution Randles–Sevcik plots for 1 and dMeFc in the range 0.02–10 V s−1. For 1: slope = 0.89 ± 0.01 μA s1/2 (mV)−1/2; R² = 0.999. For dMeFc: slope = 0.629 ± 0.00 μA s1/2 (mV)−1/2; R² = 1.0. (Inset B): DPV’s of I/dMeFc (--; 1.02/1.59 mM) and of the free base of 1-FB/dMeFc (--; 0.98/1.78 mM).

and infer how easily charge randomizes across the perimeter of a relatively small, rigid redox star-system. Thus, n1 was assessed both at a semi-infinite time scale (by bulk electrolysis) and at the cyclic voltammetric (CV) time scale of 0.02–10 V s−1. Within this time-scale, n1 was determined first by comparing the ratio of the slopes of the Randles–Sevcik plots of 1 and dMeFc with the limiting current ratio obtained in the same solution with an ultramicroelectrode; the fast charge propagation was attributed to the branch flexibility.

On the basis of those reports, e-transfer across the perimeter of dendrimers should depend on their rigidity, but it is unclear whether it would be more or less efficient than e-transfer along the branches. As these questions have important implications for molecular design, they were investigated with star systems 1–4, serving as models of first- and second-generation redox dendrimers. Cations 1–4 incorporate 4-benzoyl-N-alkylpyridinium (BP),9 whose redox potential (a) varies along the branches and (b) remains constant at fixed radius. Our strategy was to measure the number of electrons, n1, exchanged between 1 and the electrode at different time-scales,

1–4 incorporate 4-benzoyl-N-alkylpyridinium (BP),9 whose redox potential (a) varies along the branches and (b) remains constant at fixed radius. Our strategy was to measure the number of electrons, n1, exchanged between 1 and the electrode at different time-scales,
the first wave of 1 that \( n_1 = 1.81 \pm 0.01 \). Assuming that the diffusion coefficient of the first-wave-reduction product is 0.7 \( \times D_i \), i.e., that the ratio of the diffusion coefficients of 1 and \( 1^{1-e\text{-reduced}} \) is equal to the ratio of the diffusion coefficients of BP and its 1-e-reduced form,\(^\text{15} \) it is calculated from the second wave of 1 (Figure 1, inset B) that \( n_1 = 1.84 \pm 0.06 \). Thus, by DPV 1 uptakes an equal number of electrons in the first and second reductions.\(^\text{15} \) The voltammetric values of \( n_1 (\approx 2) \) probably reflect the average orientation of 1 approaching the electrode and signify that e-hopping across the perimeter does not occur to a significant extent within this time frame. In this regard, 1 is a rigid system, and the distance between the pyridinium nitrogens is fixed at \( \sim 2 \) nm (by modeling).

Since the rate of through-space e-transfer decreases exponentially with distance (attenuation factor = 10 \( \text{nm}^{-1} \)), the e-exchange rate between the BP centers in 1 would be \( \sim 10^4 \) times slower than the rate in contact either in solution or via branch flexibility.\(^\text{8} \)

Figure 2 summarizes the redox chemistry of 2–4. The overlapping waves of Figure 2A imply that both external and internal redox centers of 2 and 3 are accessible.\(^\text{16} \) Bulk electrolysis of 3 at \(-0.95 \) V vs Ag/AgCl affords \( n_3 = 5.9 \pm 0.1 \). To determine how many redox centers within a branch are accessible within a voltammetric time scale, it was necessary to resolve two redox waves, one assigned unambiguously to an external and one to an internal redox center. In that regard, the \(-\text{NO}_2 \) group of 4 is reduced between the two BP-based reductions (wave b, Figure 2B), while the first reduction waves of the external and internal pyridinium groups overlap (wave a, Figure 2B), upon further reduction the \(-\text{NO}_2 \) group turns from a good electron acceptor (\( \phi_{\text{NO}_2} = 0.78 \)) to an extremely strong electron donor (\( \phi_{\text{NO}_2} = -0.97 \)),\(^\text{9} \)\(^\text{9} \) pushing negative the reduction wave of the “external” carbonyl group of 4 (wave d). Thus, the carbonyl-based reductions of the internal (wave c) and external (wave d) redox centers are resolved. Using \( D_i = 9.24 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (by HPLC) as an approximation of the true \( D_i \)’s of the intermediate reduced forms,\(^\text{14} \) it is calculated from the DPV of Figure 2B that \( n_{\text{e-external}} = 1.38 \pm 0.06 \) (from wave b) and that \( n_{\text{e-internal}} = 1.46 \pm 0.06 \) (from wave c). Thus, the number of accessible redox centers within the branches is about equal to the number of accessible redox centers across the perimeter. Given the large separation between the external and internal BP groups (~1.6 nm from \( \text{C} = \text{O} \) to \( \text{C} = \text{O} \)), through-bond e-transfer is expected to be slow. Tunneling through the branches must be also ruled out.\(^\text{8} \) Electron hopping from the perimeter to the center is thermodynamically unfavorable as reduced external redox centers (~\( \text{NO}_2 \) and pyridinium) do not have the power to reduce the internal carbonyl. On the other hand, the \(-\text{(CH}_3\text{O)}_2^-\) spacers among the branches may coil up, bringing the internal redox centers closer to the perimeter. This hypothesis is supported by the UV spectrum of the core, which is independent of the concentration but is affected by the substituents along the perimeter. Thus, the \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) values of 1 and 3 are at 334 and 324 nm, respectively, corresponding to a stabilization by 2.1 kcal mol\(^{-1} \), which is consistent with \( \pi-\pi \) interactions between the external BP groups and the core.\(^\text{17} \) In summary, the rigidity of 1 provides a complementary view of the fact that fast e-transfer along the perimeter of core—branch systems requires flexible branches.\(^\text{8} \) From a practical viewpoint, redox equivalents emerging from the core of a rigid light-harvesting system would be localized at the tips of the branches they emerge from, creating issues of efficient bimolecular e-transfer to redox quenchers in their immediate environment. Flexible branches may not only facilitate e-transfer along the perimeter but may also fold, rendering internal redox centers more accessible.
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